Reviewer opinion on the paper submitted for publishing in the 'Nuclear and Radiation Safety' Journal (free format)

To the editorial team of the research & practice Journal 'Nuclear and Radiation Safety'

(personal signature of the reviewer)

PEER REVIEW REPORT

I, Full Name, recommend to publish in the scientific Journal 'Nuclear and
Radiation Safety' the article
(article title)
written by
(list of authors)
PEER REVIEW TEXT ¹
PEER REVIEW TEXT
Reviewer credentials:
(full name; academic degree and rank; affiliation, position)
(contact details: e-mail, phone (with city code), fax (with city code), mail address (with post
code))

A peer review report shall discuss the following:

- *whether the article content matches the theme stated in the title;*
- whether the article aligns with latest developments in science and theory;
- whether the article will be comprehensible to target audience from the viewpoint of language, style, the way material is structured, clarity of tables, diagrams, figures and formulae;
- whether it will be appropriate to publish the article considering known publications;
- positive aspects and shortcomings of the article; corrections and amendments that author shall make.

Reviewer is free to give recommendations to author and to the editorial team for improving the article. Reviewer comments and suggestions shall be unbiased and substantive, seeking to enhance the scientific and methodological level of the article.

The wrap-up part of a peer review report shall contain grounded conclusions concerning the article in general and a clear recommendation on the appropriateness of its public release, and shall provide one of the following recommendations:

- accept the article for public release;
- accept the article for public release after the author addresses the reviewer's comments and the article is peer reviewed again;
- refuse to publish the article in the open press because it does not meet the scientific standard of the Journal.